

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/864,093	05/21/2001	Gerald R. Clark	70651	1812	
22242	7590 02/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			PHAN,	PHAN, HANH	
120 SOUTH SUITE 1600	LA SALLE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IL 60603-3406	· ·	2638		
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
* Advisory Action	09/864,093	CLARK ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hanh Phan	2638	! :		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folio places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:         <ol> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	In the same day as filing a Notice of the same day as filing a Notice of the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replayed for the final rejection.  If the final rejection.  If the final rejection is the date set forth in the same of the fee. The same of the same of the fee that or the same of the fee of the same	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 dy must be filed withing the final rejection, whichever the final rejection.  RST REPLY WAS FILE of and the appropriate extension of the final Office action; or (2)	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the er is later. In no D WITHIN TWO ension fee have on fee under 37 nas set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS	se filed within the time period set it	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, <del></del>		
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further concern (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally recorresponding number of finally recorresponding	TE below); educing or simplifying ejected claims.	g the issues for		
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	):				
<ul> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 9 and 19. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 5-8,10-12, 16-18, 20-22, 24-29, 31-Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>i</u> wit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after (	entry is below or attac	cnea.		
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.		•	ance because:		
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments to claims 1, 5-8, 10-12, 16-18, 20-22, 24-29, 31-35, 38-43 and 46-50 are not persuasive. The independent claims 1, 12, 20, 29, 35 and 43 are now amended to include the limitation of "wherein the step of converting the fiber interface fundamental wavelength of the first optical signal to a free space fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion, and wherein the step of converting the free space fundamental wavelength of the second optical signal to a fiber interface fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion" and the applicant argues that the cited references (Medved et al and Geiger) fail to teach such limitation. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Geiger teaches in Figure 1 that using an optical parametric oscillator (OPO) for converting a fiber interface fundamental wavelength of a first optical signal to a free space fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion and using an optical parametric amplifier (OPA) for converting a free space fundamental wavelength of a second optical signal to a fiber interface fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion (see column 3, lines 20-56). Therefore, it is believed that the limitations of claims 1, 5-8, 10-12, 16-18, 20-22, 24-29, 31-35, 38-43, and 46-50 are still met by the combination of Medved, Geiger, Ransford and Kumar and the rejection is still maintained.

HANH PHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER